



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,623	06/26/2003	Keiji Katata	Q76304	4461
23373	7590	04/17/2008	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			TEKLE, DANIEL T	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2890

Marsha D Banks-Harold

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANACE OF THIS INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANACE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview, TO REQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OR ON ATTACHED SHEET.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *The interview of the claim language*
A new office action will not agree up to

Agreement with respect to the claims (was reached, was not reached, N/A).

Identification of prior art discussed: *Park et al.*

Claim(s) discussed: *1*

If Yes, brief description: _____
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Date of Interview: 02 April 2008

(2) MARSHA BANKS-HAROLD

(1) DANIEL TEKLE

(3) ARTEM N. SOKOLOV

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

Applicant(s)	Application No.	Examiner	Art Unit	DANIEL TEKLE	MARSHA BANKS-HAROLD
KATATA, Keiji	10/603,623		2621		